TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

May 24, 1999 LR 4, 5, 18

to an E clause, so when it is voted on, its Final Reading, if it doesn't have enough votes to get on the primary, then you take a second vote here in the Legislature to see whether it would be on the fall election or not. So it's not a major change. second portion of this is a reinstatement of some obsolete language. Because of the two constitutional salary bills that are out there, if this would go through and then they would go through, there would be a conflict. So I'm just...it's odd to say, but I am reinstating obsolete language so you don't have a conflict, if you have the salary bills, because the section, the old language is old salary language. Well, if you strike it out here, then it isn't available to be changed if the salary bills are put into the constitution. So just to avoid the conflict, you'll see me striking some language in this amendment. what they do is, basically, they're to harmonize with the salary bills that are out there when they would be voted upon. there's the two things that this amendment does, eligible to put it onto the primary ballot and cleans up any conflict language that we would have with LR 4 and LR 5CA, the salary bills. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers, on the Kristensen amendment to LR 18CA.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Kristensen, the dreamer, a question, if I may. Senator Kristensen,...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...you had said that a conflict could arise if both salary bills were to pass, by chance?

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: No, if one of the salary bills and this one would pass, because you'd...you're striking out the very language that you would be changing, if either one of the salary bills were passed.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And we're hopeful that one will pass, but not...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Yes.